12 United States of America,

_ ′

United States District Court
Eastern District of California

Plaintiff, No. Cr. S 96-0407 DFL

Civ. S 00-1433 MCE PAN P

vs. Civ. S 00-1433 MCE PAN P

Defendant.

Amado Betancourt Reyes, Findings and Recommendations

-000-

November 4, 1997, a jury convicted defendant of possessing methamphetamine and conspiring to distribute methamphetamine and possess methamphetamine with intent to distribute it. April 9, 1998, the court sentenced him to 292 months imprisonment, five years of supervised release, and \$200 in special assessments. The court of appeals affirmed April 29, 1999.

June 30, 2000, defendant moved to vacate or set aside his sentence. 28 U.S.C. § 2255. He claims error based on the government's use of confidential informant Mendoza as a witness,

the court's requirement that defendant take the stand and testify before it would instruct on entrapment, and prosecutorial vouching for Mendoza's credibility. Most of these issues were rejected by the Ninth Circuit in deciding defendant's appeal. Defendant procedurally defaulted any issues not raised on appeal. United States v. Ratigan, 351 F.3d 957 (9th Cir. 2003).

The court appointed counsel and April 2, 2001 defendant filed an amended motion to correct sentence. Defendant argues his sentence violates the Sixth Amendment because it was based on a drug quantity not found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt.

Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). The government opposed May 25, 2001, and defendant replied July 25, 2001.

Case 2:96-cr-00407-KJM Document 164 Filed 11/01/05 Page 3 of 3

Accordingly, the court hereby recommends:

- 1. Defendant's June 30, 2000, motion to vacate or set aside his sentence be denied.
- 2. Defendant's April 2, 2001, amended motion to vacate or set aside his sentence be denied.
- 3. The clerk of the court be directed to close the companion civil case number Civ. S 00-1433 MCE PAN P.

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), these findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case. Written objections may be filed within 20 days of service of these findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The district judge may accept, reject, or modify these findings and recommendations in whole or in part.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

<u>/s/ Peter A. Nowinski</u> PETER A. NOWINSKI Magistrate Judge